**Whistleblowers: Ethics in Business**

**Value: /25**

**Task:**

**Research the aspects of whistle- blowing and the assigned whistle blowing incident.**

1. Divide up into groups of 3-4 people (no larger).

Each person on your team will research one aspect of the topic. Please indicate who has researched each section.

 **Research Topic details:**
* Research the assigned instances of whistle blowing. Give a detailed description of the situation and the outcome of the situation.
* What ramifications did the individual(s) face when they decided to "blow the whistle"?  (Provide specific examples)
* What ethical dilemmas did they have to consider when choosing to "blow the whistle"?

**Topics:**

Group 1 -**Blowing the whistle on Big Tobacco**

Group 2 - **The 'Health Canada Three' - bovine growth hormone and animal feed**

Group 3 – **Silkwood- Karen Silkwood**

# Group 4 - David J. Graham- Vioxx

### Group 5- Dr. John O'Connor - Athabasca oil patch

1. **Present this information in a PowerPoint format.**
2. **Provide resources for all information.**
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|  |
| --- |
| Evaluation Rubric  |
|  |
|  |
|

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|   | **Beginning**  | **Developing**  | **Very Good**  | **Exemplary**  | **Score** |
|  |
| **Evidence and Examples**  | Evidence or Examples are not explained or relevant.  | At least one of the pieces of evidence and examples is relevant and has an explanation that shows how that piece of evidence supports the position.  | Most of the evidence and examples are specific, relevant and explanations are given that show how each piece of evidence supports the position.  | All of the evidence and examples are specific, relevant and explanations are given that show how each piece of evidence supports the position.  | 10  |  |
|  |
| **Required Content**  | More than half of required content was not included.  | Most of the required content was covered; 1-2 items not discussed.  | All required content covered.  | Required Content was covered, with additional information.  | 10  |  |
|  |
| **Sources**  | No sources provided or work cited page.  | Sources not properly cited or formatted.  | Sources properley cited but 1-2 errors in citation format.  | All sources properley cited; no errors in citation format.  | 5  |  |
|  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |
| **Total Score**:  |

 |

 |

 |  |
|  |  |

**Resources:**

[**http://www.mycanadianemploymentlaw.com/whistleblowing.html**](http://www.mycanadianemploymentlaw.com/whistleblowing.html)